

**DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATION SYSTEM FOR GROUNDWATER
LEVEL IN RELATION TO SLOPE STABILITY FORECASTING**

NG SOON MIN

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2016

**DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATION SYSTEM FOR GROUNDWATER
LEVEL IN RELATION TO SLOPE STABILITY FORECASTING**

by

NG SOON MIN

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

April 2016

**PEMBANGUNAN SISTEM PENILAIAN PARAS AIR BUMI BAGI
RAMALAN KESTABILAN CERUN**

oleh

NG SOON MIN

**Tesis yang diserahkan untuk memenuhi keperluan
bagi ijazah
Doktor Falsafah**

April 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my utmost gratitude to all who gave me the possibility to complete this thesis successfully. First of all, I want to thank my main supervisor, Dr Mohd Ashraf Mohamad Ismail for his excellent guidance and advices throughout the completion of my PhD research. His valuable encouragement, time spent and patience dedicated to my study are greatly appreciated.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor Professor Dr. Ismail bin Abustan who has given me a lot of invaluable comments and constructive suggestions to conduct this research successfully.

Special gratitude to Mr Ahmad Halmi, Mr Zabidi, Mr Dziauddin, Dr Tan Chee Ghuan, Mr Rais, Mr Firdaus and also all the staffs in school of Civil Engineering for their assistance in the laboratory, field and administrative works. My special thanks also go to my fellow peers for their moral support and interesting discourse sessions during my studies.

Aside from this, I would like to acknowledge Universiti Sains Malaysia for the Postgraduate Research Grant Scheme (USMRU-PRGS) (1001/PAWAM/8046010) and USM Research University Grant (1001/PAWAM/814192) that provide me the financial assistance to carry out this study smoothly.

To my family, I owe them a special debt for giving me such a huge encouragement and support throughout my studies. Finally, my deepest appreciation is also dedicated to my beloved soul mate Catherine Van for her continuous motivation and companionship to complete this thesis successfully.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
ABSTRAK	xx
ABSTRACT	xxii
 CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	6
1.4 Scope of work	8
1.5 Research Significance	9
1.6 Thesis outline	10
 CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Rainfall induced slope failure	16
2.2.1 Field Studies	18
2.2.2 Laboratory experiments	21
2.2.3 Numerical Modelling	24

2.3	Unsaturated Soil Mechanics	26
2.3.1	Soil Water Characteristic Curve (SWCC)	28
2.3.1.1	Laboratory tests for SWCC	30
2.3.1.2	Mathematical equations for SWCC	32
2.3.1.3	Prediction of SWCC	34
2.3.2	Hydraulic conductivity function	35
2.3.3	Unsaturated-saturated seepage flow	39
2.3.4	Shear strength	41
2.3.5	Slope stability analysis	43
2.3.5.1	Limit equilibrium method (LEM)	44
2.3.5.2	Finite element method (FEM)	46
2.4	Prediction of rainfall induced slope failure	49
2.4.1	Rainfall threshold	51
2.4.1.1	Empirical based model	52
2.4.1.2	Physical based model	54
2.4.2	In-situ instrumentation	54
2.4.2.1	The concept of tank model	57
2.5	Summary	59

CHAPTER 3 - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	60
3.2	Background of the study area	62
3.2.1	Subsurface investigation	67
3.2.1.1	Borehole drilling	69
3.2.1.2	Electrical Resistivity Survey	71
3.2.1.3	Seismic Refraction Survey	75

3.2.1.4	Development of 3D subsurface model	80
3.2.2	Geotechnical properties of soil samples	82
3.2.2.1	Particle size distribution	84
3.2.2.2	Atterberg limits	84
3.2.2.3	Hydraulic conductivity test	85
3.2.2.4	Shear strength parameters	86
3.3	Multi tank model	87
3.4	Unsaturated-saturated seepage flow analysis	94
3.4.1	Volumetric water content function	96
3.4.2	Hydraulic conductivity function	97
3.5	Stability analysis	98
3.5.1	Slope stability assessment	100
3.6	One dimensional (1D) soil column study	101
3.6.1	Laboratory physical modelling	102
3.6.1.1	Acrylic cylinder	103
3.6.1.2	Rainfall simulator system	105
3.6.1.3	Tensiometer-transducer system	105
3.6.1.4	TDR system	107
3.6.1.5	Pore air pressure sensor system	108
3.6.1.6	Data acquisition system	109
3.6.2	Experimental setup	111
3.7	Numerical modelling for 1D soil column	112
CHAPTER 4 - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
4.1	Introduction	115
4.2	Development of subsurface conceptual model	116

4.2.1	Stratigraphy observation	116
4.2.2	Subsurface profiling	119
4.2.3	Delineation of water bearing zone	124
4.2.4	Potential failure zone	130
4.3	Input parameters for numerical modelling	131
4.3.1	Soil classification and physical properties	132
4.4	Application of multi tank model	133
4.4.1	Groundwater table calibration	134
4.4.2	Groundwater table prediction	137
4.5	Pore water pressure distribution in slope	139
4.6	Stability conditions	145
4.6.1	Stability prediction for the year 2012	145
4.6.2	Stability verification	148
4.7	1D soil column study	149
4.7.1	Properties for testing materials	150
4.7.2	Numerical simulation of 1D soil column experiment	153
4.7.2.1	Numerical assessment of 1D soil column experiment	155
4.7.3	Physical modelling of 1D soil column experiment	162
4.7.3.1	Response of pore air pressure	163
4.7.3.2	Response of matric suction	167
4.7.3.3	Response of volumetric water content	171
4.7.3.4	Behaviour of unsaturated soil during infiltration	175
4.7.4	Stability with consideration of pore air	177
 CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
5.1	Conclusions	179

5.2	Recommendations for future studies	182
	REFERENCES	184
	APPENDICES	
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Various causal factors of slope failure	14
Table 2.2	Summary of laboratory experiment techniques for matric suction measurement	32
Table 2.3	Summary of mathematical equations for SWCC (Fredlund, 2000)	33
Table 2.4	Equations of static satisfied by limit equilibrium methods (Krahn, 2003)	46
Table 3.1	Relationship between in-situ rock quality and RQD (Deere, 1963)	70
Table 3.2	Resistivity of some common rocks and soil materials (Keller and Frischknecht 1966)	72
Table 3.3	Electrical resistivity of some types of water (Keller and Frischknecht 1966)	72
Table 3.4	Configuration for each resistivity lines	73
Table 3.5	Configuration for each seismic refraction lines	77
Table 3.6	Typical propagation velocities of seismic waves through different types of geological media (Reynolds, 2011)	79
Table 3.7	Typical P-waves velocities, v_p for various soils and rocks (Cornforth, 2005)	80
Table 3.8	Selected undisturbed sample for triaxial unconsolidated drained test	86
Table 3.9	Calculated weight for each rainfall station	92
Table 3.10	Data utilization based on date and purpose	94
Table 3.11	Experimental program for 1D soil column study	112
Table 3.12	Required input properties for numerical modelling	114
Table 4.1	RQD results from rock coring	117
Table 4.2	Summary for the detailed of three v_p layers with the estimated ground condition	121

Table 4.3	RMS results and number of iteration for each resistivity line	125
Table 4.4	Summary of resistivity distribution for the study area	125
Table 4.5	Geotechnical parameters for soil sample BH3 at 2 - 2.4m	133
Table 4.6	Result of parameter calibration after optimization	135
Table 4.7	Type of seepage analyses with different boundary conditions	140
Table 4.8	Basic soil properties for glass beads and soil sample Point 1	152
Table 4.9	Summary of findings for 1D soil column study	176

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Groundwater behaviour in slope subjected to rainfall	3
Figure 1.2	Thesis outline	11
Figure 2.1	Stability variation for slope subjected to preparatory and triggering causal factors (Popescu, 1994)	15
Figure 2.2	Triggering factors of slope failure for worldwide literatures (Public Works Department of Malaysia, 2008)	15
Figure 2.3	Triggering factors of slope failure in Malaysia (Public Works Department of Malaysia, 2008)	16
Figure 2.4	An overview of rainfall induced slope failure mechanism	17
Figure 2.5	Matric suction profile in horizontally layered unsaturated soil under various surface flux boundary conditions (Hamdhan and Schweiger, 2011)	28
Figure 2.6	A typical soil water characteristic curve (SWCC) of soil (Fredlund and Xing, 1994)	29
Figure 2.7	Typical plots of the soil-water characteristic curve and the coefficient of hydraulic conductivity function for a sand and a clayey silt (Fredlund, 2000)	37
Figure 2.8	Extended Mohr Coulomb failure surface for unsaturated soil (Lu and Likos, 2004)	42
Figure 2.9	Slice discretization and slice forces (Krahn, 2003)	44
Figure 2.10	Definitions and terms used in FEM	47
Figure 2.11	The early warning system activities flowchart (Intrieri et al., 2012)	50
Figure 2.12	Conceptual model of tank model	58
Figure 2.13	Application of tank model in slope stability study	58
Figure 3.1	Methodology flowchart for this study	61
Figure 3.2	Rainfall intensity recorded by the rainfall station in Precinct 2, Putrajaya for March 2007 (Ahmed et al., 2011)	63

Figure 3.3	Location of slope failure in Precinct 9, Putrajaya (extracted from Google Earth® and (Perbadanan Putrajaya, 2008))	63
Figure 3.4	The occurrence of slope failure in Precinct 9, Putrajaya on 22nd March 2007 (Lankapo, 2007)	64
Figure 3.5	Geological map of study area extracted from digital map of Peninsular Malaysia	65
Figure 3.6	Crib wall to stabilize the slope failure mass at Precinct 9, Putrajaya	66
Figure 3.7	Location of piezometers and inclinometers installed at the study area	66
Figure 3.8	Flowchart for subsurface characterization of the study area	68
Figure 3.9	Location of boreholes, resistivity lines and seismic lines	68
Figure 3.10	The arrangement of electrodes for a 2D electrical survey and the sequence of measurement used to build up a resistivity section	73
Figure 3.11	The equipments for electrical resistivity survey	74
Figure 3.12	Flowchart of resistivity inversion process (Society of Exploration of Geophysicist Japan, 2004)	74
Figure 3.13	Typical example of measured and calculated apparent resistivity pseudosections together with an inversion model (Loke, 2000)	75
Figure 3.14	Instruments for seismic refraction survey (a) Geode seismograph (b) 14Hz geophones (c) laptop (d) 200m geophone spread cable (e) 8kg sledgehammer (f) striker plate	77
Figure 3.15	Seismic refraction survey on-site configuration and the refracted wave	78
Figure 3.16	Flowchart of seismic refraction iterative data analysis (Takahashi et al., 2006)	78
Figure 3.17	Example of P-wave seismic refraction first signal arrivals	79
Figure 3.18	3D cells of voxels (extracted from RockWorks 15, 2010)	82
Figure 3.19	Additional sampling point for laboratory physical modelling	83
Figure 3.20	Flowchart of soil sampling laboratory tests	83

Figure 3.21	Undisturbed sample for falling head test using core cutter method	85
Figure 3.22	Parameters in a tank model system	87
Figure 3.23	The multi tank model system on slope and the unknown parameters	88
Figure 3.24	General routine of multi tank model for groundwater tables evaluation	91
Figure 3.25	Four rainfall stations nearest to the slope at Precinct 9, Putrajaya	92
Figure 3.26	Daily rainfall intensity for year 2011	92
Figure 3.27	Daily rainfall intensity for year 2012	93
Figure 3.28	Flowrate measurements of horizontal drain for year 2011	93
Figure 3.29	Flowrate measurements of horizontal drain for year 2012	93
Figure 3.30	Routine for seepage flow analysis	95
Figure 3.31	Geometry of the finite element mesh used for the seepage flow analysis	96
Figure 3.32	Routine of stability analysis	100
Figure 3.33	Schematic diagram of 1D soil column apparatus	102
Figure 3.34	Actual experimental setup for 1D soil column experiment	103
Figure 3.35	Design of soil column cylinder in 3D view	104
Figure 3.36	Shower head with 2mm opening for rainfall simulator system	105
Figure 3.37	Tensiometer-transducer for 1D soil column experiment	107
Figure 3.38	2100F Soilmoisture probe (from Soilmoisture Equipment Corporation)	107
Figure 3.39	Trime-Pico 32 for water content measurement	108
Figure 3.40	PTFE membrane for pore air pressure sensor	109
Figure 3.41	Kyowa low pressure transducer	109
Figure 3.42	Data acquisition system	110

Figure 3.43	Flowchart for numerical modelling routine	113
Figure 3.44	Finite element model for 1D soil column	114
Figure 4.1	3D multi borehole logs	118
Figure 4.2	3D stratigraphy model	118
Figure 4.3	Stratigraphy fence diagram for the study area	119
Figure 4.4	Seismic velocity profiles for (a) S1 (b) S2 (c) S3 (d) S4 (e) S5 (f) S6	121
Figure 4.5	3D v_p model of the study area	123
Figure 4.6	Correlation coefficient for 3D v_p model interpolation	123
Figure 4.7	Seismic velocity sections for (a) A-A' (b) B-B'	124
Figure 4.8	2D resistivity model for survey line (a) R1 (b) R2 (c) R3 (d) R4 (e) R5 (f) R6 (g) R7 (h) R8 (i) R9	126
Figure 4.9	3D resistivity model of the study area	129
Figure 4.10	Correlation coefficient for 3D electrical resistivity model interpolation	129
Figure 4.11	Resistivity cross-sections for (a) A-A' (b) B-B'	130
Figure 4.12	Conceptual model of the study area	131
Figure 4.13	Microsoft Excel worksheet for parameter calibration	135
Figure 4.14	Parameter calibration result for the calculated groundwater levels at the crest, middle and toe of the slope	136
Figure 4.15	Predicted groundwater levels at the crest, middle and toe of the slope for the year 2012	138
Figure 4.16	Hydraulic properties function for silt (a) volumetric water content (b) hydraulic conductivity	140
Figure 4.17	Application of boundary conditions in seepage analysis using (a) fluctuating groundwater table (b) fixed groundwater table	141
Figure 4.18	Initial pore water pressure distribution for the slope model with (a) fluctuating groundwater table (b) fixed boundary (c) observed groundwater table	142

Figure 4.19	Pore water distribution during highest rainfall day analyzed with three types of boundaries condition at (a) crest (b) middle slope (c) toe	144
Figure 4.20	Variation of FOS in 2012 computed using LEM and FEM for slope model with (a) groundwater table fluctuations (b) fixed groundwater table	146
Figure 4.21	Slip surface on 21st September 2012 for slope analyzed by (a) LEM (b) FEM	147
Figure 4.22	LEM stability to validate the multi tank model approach with observed groundwater table data	149
Figure 4.23	Particle size distribution for glass beads	151
Figure 4.24	Particle size distribution at different depth for soil sample Point 1	151
Figure 4.25	Hydraulic properties function for glass beads and soil sample from site (a) volumetric water content (b) hydraulic conductivity	152
Figure 4.26	Infiltration depth with pore water pressure distribution at t=5min for numerical simulation (a) E1 (b) E3 (c) E5 (d) E7	154
Figure 4.27	The response of pore air pressure by numerical analysis for (a) E1 (b) E3 (c) E5 (d) E7	156
Figure 4.28	The response of matric suction by numerical analysis for (a) E1 (b) E3 (c) E5 (d) E7	158
Figure 4.29	The response of volumetric water content by numerical analysis for (a) E1 (b) E3 (c) E5 (d) E7	160
Figure 4.30	Position of monitoring instruments with elevation indicator used for analysis	163
Figure 4.31	Results of pore air pressure due to rainfall infiltration for (a) E1 (b) E2 (c) E3 (d) E4 (e) E5 (f) E6 (g) E7 (h) E8	165
Figure 4.32	Results of matric suction due to rainfall infiltration for (a) E1 (b) E2 (c) E3 (d) E4 (e) E5 (f) E6 (g) E7 (h) E8	169
Figure 4.33	Results of volumetric water content due to rainfall infiltration for (a) E1 (b) E2 (c) E3 (d) E4 (e) E5 (f) E6 (g) E7 (h) E8	173
Figure 4.34	Comparison of FOS analyzed with and without consideration of pore air	178

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1D	One dimensional
2D	Two dimensional
3D	Three dimensional
AEV	Air entry value
BSCS	British Soil Classification System
CU	Consolidated undrained triaxial test
EDM	Electronic distance meter
FEM	Finite element method
FEM-SSR	Finite element shear strength reduction method
FOS	Factor of safety
GLE	General limit equilibrium
GP	Genetic programming
GPS	Global positioning system
GWT	Groundwater table
HAE	High air entry
ID	Intensity-duration
IDW	Inverse distance weighting
LEM	Limit equilibrium method
LP	Local polynomial
lpm	Litre per minute
MG	Gravelly silt
MH	High plasticity silt
MI	Intermediate plasticity silt

MS	Sandy silt
NN	Nearest neighbour
NSMP	National Slope Master Plan of Malaysia
PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
RMS	Root mean square
RQD	Rock quality designation
SP	Poorly graded sand
SPT	Standard penetration test
SSR	Shear strength reduction
SWCC	Soil Water Characteristic Curve
TCR	Total recovery ratio
TDR	Time domain reflectometry
TSA	Trend surface analysis
VBA	Visual basic for application

LIST OF SYMBOLS

σ'	Effective normal stress
σ	Total normal stress
u_a	Pore air pressure
ψ	Matric suction
u_w	Pore water pressure
θ	Volumetric water content
θ_s	Saturated volumetric water content
a	Air entry value
n	Parameter that controls the slope of SWCC function
m	Parameter related to residual water content
C_r	Correction factor
k_w	coefficient of hydraulic conductivity
k_s	saturated coefficient of hydraulic conductivity
Θ	θ/θ_s
p	fitting parameter corresponding to the slope of hydraulic conductivity function
e	natural number of 2.71828
$C(\psi)$	Correction factor
θ_r	Residual water content
b	$\ln(1000000)$
y	dummy variable of integration representing the logarithm of integration
h	total hydraulic head
k_x	Coefficient of hydraulic conductivity in x -direction
k_y	Coefficient of hydraulic conductivity in y -direction

Q	Applied boundary flux such as evaporation, infiltration etc.
m_w	Slope of the SWCC
τ	Shear stress on the failure plane
c'	Effective cohesion
ϕ'	Effective angle of internal friction
ϕ^b	Angle indicating the rate of increase in shear strength relative to the change in matric suction, $(u_a - u_w)_f$
c_f	Factored cohesion
ϕ_f	Factored angle of internal friction
v_p	P-waves velocity
I	Rainfall intensity
D	Rainfall duration
R_c	Computed data for inverse distance weighting method
R_i	Measured data at site
N	Total points measured
w_i	Weighting for each point
d_i	Distance from each points measured to the unknown points
α	Exponent
R	Coefficient correlation
c	Total cohesion
ϕ	Total angle of internal friction
k_a	Dielectric constant
c_v	Velocity of light in free space (3×10^8 m/s)
t	Time
L	Length
α_i	Discharge coefficient in tank model

β_i	Discharge coefficient for infiltration in tank model
H_i	Height of lateral outlet in tank model
Q_i	Lateral flow discharge in tank model
Z_i	Water level in tank model
I_i	Vertical infiltration discharge
E	Evaporation rate
GWT_{ref}	Reference groundwater table
GWT_c	Computed groundwater table
GWT_o	Observed groundwater table
M	Total number of data measurements
ψ_i	Suction pressure corresponding to the water content occurring at the inflection point of the curve
s	Slope of the line tangent to the function that passes through the inflection point
N_s	Slice base normal force
W_s	Slice weight
l_l	Line load
β, R, x, f, d, w	Geometric parameters of a circular slip surface
α	Inclination of slice base
F_m	FOS equations with respect to moment equilibrium
F_f	FOS equations with respect to horizontal force equilibrium

PEMBANGUNAN SISTEM PENILAIAN PARAS AIR BUMI BAGI RAMALAN KESTABILAN CERUN

ABSTRAK

Penyelidikan ini bertumpu kepada pembangunan sistem penilaian paras air bumi bagi ramalan kestabilan cerun berdasarkan kajian kes bertempat di cerun Presint 9, Putrajaya, Malaysia. Sistem ini melibatkan pembangunan model konseptual subpermukaan, pembangunan model untuk penilaian turun naik paras air bumi dan penilaian kestabilan dan penyiasatan perilaku tanah tak tepu yang merangkumi tekanan liang udara. Pencirian subpermukaan untuk kawasan kajian telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan integrasi lubang gerudi, kajian pembiasan seismik dan kaedah keberintangan elektrik. Model subpermukaan tiga dimensi (3D) yang terdiri daripada stratigrafi, halaju seismik dan keberintangan elektrik berjaya mengenal pasti zon kegagalan cerun berpotensi tinggi yang juga merangkumi kawasan kegagalan cerun lampau. Zon kegagalan yang terdiri daripada tanah kelodak dikenal pasti mempunyai ciri-ciri kandungan air yang tinggi dengan julat keberintangan elektrik dari $10\Omega\text{m}$ ke $300\Omega\text{m}$ dan julat halaju gelombang P (v_p) yang sederhana dari 500m/s ke 1000m/s. Ini diikuti dengan pembangunan model tangki pelbagai dan analisis berangka untuk menentukan perubahan air bumi dan taburan tekanan liang air di dalam cerun. Model tangki pelbagai berjaya menghasilkan ralat punca kuasa dua (RMSE) bernilai 0.156 dan 0.169 untuk model kalibrasi yang menggunakan data tahun 2011 dan model ramalan yang menggunakan data tahun 2012. Faktor keselamatan (FOS) cerun sepanjang tahun 2012 menunjukkan perubahan berdasarkan turun naik paras air bumi yang dianalisis menggunakan kaedah keseimbangan had (LEM) dan kaedah unsur

terhingga (FEM). Disamping itu, FOS untuk model cerun yang menggunakan paras air bumi model tangki pelbagai memperoleh ralat sebanyak 1% berbanding dengan model cerun yang menggunakan paras air bumi ukuran di tapak. Keputusan kajian membuktikan bahawa sistem penilaian yang dibangunkan ini boleh diterapkan sebagai satu sistem penilaian untuk ramalan kestabilan cerun. Selain itu, komponen tekanan liang udara yang sering diabaikan dalam perilaku tanah tak tepu semasa penyusupan air hujan juga disiasat menggunakan simulasi berangka dan permodelan fizikal kolum tanah satu dimensi (1D). Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa pembangunan tekanan liang udara adalah dipengaruhi oleh jenis tanah, keamatan hujan dan kandungan air permulaan. Keputusan simulasi berangka dan eksperimen makmal menunjukkan bahawa sampel tanah dari tapak dengan keamatan hujan sebanyak 26751.6mm/hr dan kandungan air permulaan sebanyak 21% menghasilkan tekanan liang udara yang maksimum. Saiz zarah yang halus serta intensiti hujan dan kandungan air permulaan yang tinggi akan menyebabkan penyusupan air hujan tidak dapat menggantikan liang udara dengan lancar maka mengakibatkan tekanan liang udara meningkat.

DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATION SYSTEM FOR GROUNDWATER LEVEL IN RELATION TO SLOPE STABILITY FORECASTING

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study focused on developing a new evaluation system of groundwater level for slope stability forecasting by conducting a case study at a cut slope in Precinct 9, Putrajaya, Malaysia. This system involved the development of subsurface conceptual model, development of groundwater table fluctuation model, stability assessment and investigation of unsaturated soil behaviour which incorporates the response of pore air pressure. Subsurface characterization of the study area was carried out by using the integration of borehole drilling, electrical resistivity survey and seismic refraction survey. Three dimensional (3D) models of stratigraphy, electrical resistivity and seismic velocity were proven to be effective in identifying the potential failure zone that coincides with the past slope failure zone. The potential failure zone that consist of silt soil was identified to have high water content with electrical resistivity ranges from $10\Omega\text{m}$ to $300\Omega\text{m}$ and intermediate P-waves velocity (v_p) of 500m/s to 1000m/s. Subsequently, the multi tank model and numerical analysis was developed to determine the groundwater table fluctuations and pore water pressure distributions of the slope. The multi tank model was able to produce a root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.156 and 0.169 for the calibration model using year 2011 data and prediction model using year 2012 data respectively. The factor of safety (FOS) of the slope throughout the year 2012 varies according to the fluctuations of groundwater table analyzed by using both limit equilibrium method (LEM) and finite element method (FEM). Furthermore, the FOS for the